arXiv:math/0203110v1 [math.SG] 12 Mar 2002

Contemporary Mathematics

2000]

On the structure of graded symplectic supermanifolds and Courant algebroids.

Dmitry Roytenberg

Abstract. This paper is devoted to a study of geometric structures expressible in terms of graded symplectic supermanifolds. We extend the classical BRST formalism to arbitrary pseudo-Euclidean vector bundles $E \to M_0$ by canonically associating to such a bundle a graded symplectic supermanifold (M, Ω), with $\deg(\Omega) = 2$. Conversely, every such manifold arises in this way. We describe the algebra of functions on M in terms of E and show that "BRST charges" on M correspond to Courant algebroid structures on E, thereby constructing the standard complex for the latter as a generalization of the classical BRST complex. As an application of these ideas, we prove the acyclicity of "higher de Rham complexes", a generalization of a classic result of Fröhlicher-Nijenhuis, and derive several easy but useful corollaries.

1. Introduction.

Graded symplectic supermanifolds have been known to physicists since the 70s, providing framework for the so-called BRST formalism. Such supermanifolds were obtained by adjoining odd generators ("ghosts" and "antighosts") to the classical algebra of observables, and having them satisfy canonical Poisson bracket relations. In the simplest case, such supermanifolds are of the form $M = \Pi(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*) \times T^*M_0$, where M_0 is the configuration space acted upon by a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, and Π denotes parity shift. The symplectic form is $\Omega = dp_i dq^i + d\theta_a d\xi^a$, where the coordinates (ξ^a) on $\Pi\mathfrak{g}$ are the "ghosts", those (θ_a) on $\Pi\mathfrak{g}^*$ – the "antighosts". One assigns the ghost degree $+1$ to the ghost variables, while the antighosts are assigned degree -1. The symplectic form Ω has total ghost degree zero, so that one can replace T^*M_0 by an arbitrary symplectic manifold P acted upon by $\mathfrak{g}$. The classical BRST algebra is the graded Poisson algebra $\wedge^{\cdot}(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes C^\infty(P)$ of functions on M. One then constructs an odd self-commuting Hamiltonian of ghost degree $+1$ (the "BRST charge") which acts on the BRST algebra via the Poisson bracket as a differential; its cohomology (the BRST cohomology) is identified with the "physical" observables.

A detailed mathematical study of the above special case of classical BRST algebra and its quantization was undertaken by Kostant and Sternberg [**7**] who related the BRST cohomology with Lie algebra cohomology. They showed, in particular, that if the action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on P is "nice", the BRST cohomology is concentrated in degree 0 and is isomorphic to the algebra of functions on the reduced phase space.

The classical BRST formalism can be extended and generalized in various directions. For instance, to treat more complicated symmetries it is required to further extend the BRST algebra by including "ghosts for ghosts" etc. The homological algebra becomes quite involved in this case (see [**4**]). The purpose of this paper is

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53D05, 81T70; Secondary 51P05, 81T45.
Key words and phrases. supermanifolds, symplectic, algebroid, BRST.

©2002 American Mathematical Society

to generalize the BRST formalism to the case of an arbitrary pseudo-Euclidean vector bundle E over a manifold M_0, and to study the resulting geometric structures, Courant algebroids. Consider the special case M_0 = point, treated in [**7**]. Here we have a pseudo-Euclidean vector space $(V, <\cdot,\cdot>)$, $M = \Pi V$, $\Omega = \frac{1}{2} d\xi^a g_{ab} d\xi^b$, where $g_{ab} =< e_a, e_b >$ for some basis (e_a) of V. Not having a ghost-antighost splitting, we assign degree $+1$ to all ξ^a's, so that the degree of Ω is now $+2$. The exterior algebra $\wedge^{\cdot} V^*$ becomes a graded Poisson algebra; the Poisson bracket has degree -2. Hence, the space $\wedge^2 V^*$ of quadratic Hamiltonians becomes a Lie algebra, isomorphic to $\mathfrak{so}(V)$. It acts on all Grassman polynomials $\wedge^k V^*$ via the Poisson bracket. The situation is entirely analogous to the well-known realization of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(V)$ of a symplectic vector space (V, ω) as the lie algebra of quadratic Hamiltonians $S^2 V^*$ under the canonical Poisson bracket.

If V carries a Lie algebra structure such that $<\cdot,\cdot>$ is ad-invariant, one forms the *Cartan structure tensor* $\Theta \in \wedge^3 V^*$ by setting $\Theta(X, Y, Z) =< [X, Y], Z >$. It plays the role of a "BRST charge" for the standard complex of the Lie algebra V: it satisfies the structure equation $\{\Theta, \Theta\} = 0$ (equivalent to the Jacobi identity), and $\delta = \{\Theta, \cdot\}$ is the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential. The Lie bracket on V is recovered as a so-called *derived bracket*: $[X, Y] = \{\{X, \Theta\}, Y\}$ (we have used $<\cdot,\cdot>$ to identify V with V^*). This formalism was exploited by Lecomte-Roger [**8**] and Kosmann-Schwarzbach [**6**] who used it to study the homological algebra of Lie bialgebras and quasi-Lie bialgebras, respectively.

If $M_0 \times V$ is a trivial pseudo-Euclidean vector bundle over M_0, one sets $M = \Pi V \times T^* M_0$, with $\Omega = dp_i dq^i + \frac{1}{2} d\xi^a g_{ab} d\xi^b$. One needs to assign degree 2 to the momenta p_i so that Ω is homogeneous of degree 2. Thus it is proper to denote $M = V[1] \times T^*[2] M_0$, to indicate the shift in grading. Note that with this choice of grading every homogeneous function on M has a nonnegative degree compatible with its parity: M is a so-called *N-manifold* [**19**]. It turns out that one can canonically associate a symplectic N-manifold M of degree 2 to any, not necessarily trivial, pseudo-Euclidean vector bundle $E \to M_0$, and that conversely, every such manifold arisies in this way (Theorem 3.3). Local trivializations of E (as a pseudo-Euclidean bundle) give rise to affine Darboux charts of the form $V[1] \times T^*[2]U$, and M is glued together from these by affine coordinate transformations (3.3) corresponding to changes of trivialization of E. An observation, often overlooked, is that all canonical constructions in the category of vector bundles should be equivariant with respect to the group of bundle automorphisms covering diffeomorphisms of the base (as opposed to just those that leave every point of the base fixed). The Lie algebra of this group is known as the *Atiyah algebra*, consisting of so-called covariant differential operators (CDO's) on E. In case of pseudo-Euclidean vector bundles, one restricts to the subalgebra of operators preserving $<\cdot,\cdot>$. This algebra is realized as the Lie algebra $\mathcal{A}^2$ of quadratic Hamiltonians on M, under the Poisson bracket. It acts on all polynomial functions on M, preserving the degree.

Whereas in studying structures on vector spaces (such as Lie algebras, bialgebras, etc.) the use of supermanifold formalism is entirely optional and a matter of one's taste, it offers significant advantages already when we go to vector bundles. The analogue of a Lie algebra in the world of vector bundles is a *Lie algebroid*. A Lie algebroid structure on a vector bundle $A \to M_0$ is usually described in terms of an anchor map $A \to TM_0$ and a Lie bracket of sections, but it can be equivalently described as a derivation d_A of $\Gamma(\wedge^{\cdot} A^*)$ of degree $+1$ and square zero. This differential can be viewed as a homological vector field on the N-manifold $A[1] = \Pi A$ (in the terminology of [**19**], an *NQ-structure*). The standard example is the tangent bundle TM_0 with identity anchor and the commutator bracket of vector fields; the complex in this case is just the de Rham complex of M_0. This homological description facilitates an elegant and concise formulation of such important notions as modules and homomoprhisms of Lie algebroids. The classical definition of homomorphism is rather complicated, especially when different base manifolds are involved; the classical notion of a module, while certainly reasonable, fails to include perhaps the most important module of all, the adjoint module (see [**10**] for a thorough discussion). The homological formulation overcomes these difficulties [**16**]. Its potential is yet to be fully realized.

As soon as a pseudo-Euclidean structure on a vector bundle $E \to M_0$ is introduced, one quickly realizes that any reasonable notion of "ad-invariance" is incompatible with the notion of Lie algebroid, except in the trivial case of a bundle of Lie algebras. One has to sacrifice the skew-symmetry of the bracket of sections by adding a symmetric part which is, in a suitable sense, infinitesimal (a coboundary), or else introduce anomalies into the Jacobi and other basic identities. Thus *Courant algebroids* are born. The first basic example (called *standard*), on $E = TM_0 \oplus T^*M_0$, is due to T. Courant [**2**], who used it to describe integrability of so-called *Dirac structures* on M_0 (including 2-forms, bivectors and distributions) in a unified way. The general definition appeared in [**9**] as a result of a "doubling" construction for *Lie bialgebroids* [**11**]. This leads, for a Lie bialgebroid (A, A^*), to a Courant algebroid structure on $E = A \oplus A^*$, generalizing Courant's example. Ševera [**18**] showed how Courant algebroids appear naturally in the context of two-dimensional variational problems. He also classified so-called *exact Courant algebroids*, deformations of the standard one by closed 3-forms. These were later used to describe twisted Poisson manifolds with a closed 3-form background ([**20**], see also [**15**]).

To describe Courant algebroids homologically, the (merely Poisson, not symplectic) N-manifold $E[1] = \Pi E$ is not enough; one needs its minimal symplectic realization (M, Ω) mentioned above. It turns out (Theorem 4.5) that Courant algebroid structures on E are in 1-1 correspondence with "BRST charges" on M, i.e. cubic Hamiltonians Θ satisfying the structure equation $\{\Theta, \Theta\} = 0$. The BRST differential $D = \{\Theta, \cdot\}$, acting on the algebra $\mathcal{A}^{\cdot}$ of polynomial functions on M, gives the standard complex for the Courant algebroid. For Lie bialgebroids this description (the "homological double") was given in [**14**] (in that case $M = T^*\Pi A$ with an appropriate assignment of grading). In case M_0 is a point, we recover Cartan's structure tensor. At this point we should remark that instead of N-manifolds we could consider general graded manifolds [**17**]; all our constructions extend to this case at the small extra cost of having to consider the even and odd symplectic forms separately, as the degree is no longer tied to parity. This way we would obtain even and odd Courant superalgebroids over supermanifolds. We stick with N-manifolds for simplicity of presentation.

One remarkable feature of Courant algebroids, apparent in the homological formulation, is that they can be used as target spaces for a general class of 3-dimensional topological field theories. Special cases include the Chern-Simons gauge theory (when M_0 is a point) and Park's topological membrane theory [**12**] (when E is an exact Courant algebroid). This fits in the general Batalin-Vilkovisky framework described in [**1**]. The applications to the topology of 3-manifolds are beginning to be investigated; the program was outlined to us by Park [**13**], who also considers symplectic NQ-manifolds of higher degree (see [**12**] for application to deformation quantization).

When $E = TM_0 \oplus T^*M_0$ is the standard Courant algebroid, $M = T^*\Pi TM_0$, and $\Theta = \Theta_0$ is just the Hamiltonian corresponding to the de Rham vector field d on ΠTM_0. The standard (BRST) complex gets decomposed into a series of subcomplexes $(\mathcal{A}^{k,\cdot}, D)$ which we call the *higher de Rham complexes.* For $k = 0$ this is just the de Rham complex of M_0, whereas $\mathcal{A}^{1,\cdot}[1]$ can be identified with vector fields on ΠTM_0, with $D = [d, \cdot]$; in general, $\mathcal{A}^{k,l}$ consists of the symbols of differential operators on ΠTM_0 of order k and degree $l - k$; $(\mathcal{A}^{k,\cdot}, D)$ can be interpreted as the standard complex of the Lie algebroid TM_0 with coefficients in the kth exterior power of the adjoint module.

We prove (Proposition 5.1) that the higher de Rham complexes are acyclic for $k > 0$ by constructing a chain homotopy for D as a vector field ι on M of degree -1. This generalizes the classic result of Frölicher and Nijenhuis [**3**] on the structure of derivations of the ring of differential forms. Several corollaries, potentially of independent interest, follow immediately from this: Cor. 5.2 (the rigidity of the standard Lie algebroid structure on TM_0), Cor. 5.3 (any Lie algebroid structure on T^*M_0 compatible with the standard one on TM_0 comes from a Poisson structure on M_0) and Cor. 5.4 (the cohomology of the standard Courant algebroid is isomorphic to the de Rham cohomology of M_0). This last corollary implies, in particular, that Ševera's exact Courant algebroids are the only nontrivial deformations of the standard one.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we review the generalities on graded manifolds and N-manifolds, following [**17**] and [**19**]; in Section 3 we study the structure of symplectic N-manifolds of degree 1 and 2 in detail; Section 4 is devoted to the homological (BRST) description of Courant algebroids, their standard complexes and cohomology; finally, in Section 5 we concentrate on the special case of the standard Courant algebroid and its deformations.

We would like to thank Anton Alekseev, Jae-Suk Park, Pavol Ševera, Ted Voronov, Alan Weinstein and Ping Xu for useful discussions and advice. We also thank the Erwin Schrödinger Institute where some of this work was carried out, for hospitality.

2. Graded Manifolds and N-manifolds.

A *graded manifold* is a (super)manifold with an additional grading in the structure sheaf. Specifically, it is a manifold M that possesses a coordinate atlas (of so-called *affine charts*) in which each local coordinate is assigned a *degree* (or *weight*), and the coordinate transformations are required to preserve the total weight. In this note we shall require all weights to be integers, although it is not a necessary restriction, in principle. The grading can also be conveniently described by means of the *Euler vector field*

$$\epsilon = \sum w(x^i) x^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i},$$

where $w(x^i)$ denotes the weight of x^i. The weights are just the eigenvalues with respect to the action of ϵ: we say that a function f is *homogeneous of weight k* if $\epsilon \cdot f = kf$. We shall denote the space of all such functions by $\mathcal{A}^k$ and call the graded algebra $\mathcal{A}^{\cdot} = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{A}^k$ the *algebra of polynomial functions* on M; the algebra of all smooth functions is a completion of $\mathcal{A}^{\cdot}$. The *degree of* M is by definition the highest weight of a local coordinate.

Any (super)manifold M becomes a graded manifold if we assign zero weight to all the coordinates (i.e. set $\epsilon = 0$). The total space M_1 of any vector bundle A over a base (super)manifold M_0 becomes a graded manifold if the base coordinates are assigned zero weight, while all the fibre coordinates are assigned the same weight n. The standard choice, of course, is $n = 1$. In fact, any manifold of degree 1 is easily seen to be a vector bundle.

In general, different local coordinates can have different weights, and the grading can be thought of as a generalization of a vector bundle structure; a comparison with physical units of measurement is also helpful. A general graded manifold M has the following structure. Let $\mathcal{A}_k$ denote the (graded) subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}^{\cdot}$ locally generated by functions of weight $\leq k$. Then $\mathcal{A}^{\cdot}$ is filtered by the $\mathcal{A}_k$'s:

$$\mathcal{A}_0 \subset \mathcal{A}_1 \subset \mathcal{A}_2 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{A}_d = \mathcal{A}^{\cdot},$$

where d is the degree of M. Clearly, $\mathcal{A}^k = \mathcal{A}_k/\mathcal{A}_{k+1}$. Correspondingly, we have a tower of fibrations

$$M_0 \leftarrow M_1 \leftarrow M_2 \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow M_d = M$$

Here M_0 is a (super)manifold, M_1 is a vector bundle over M_0, and for $k \geq 1$, $M_k \leftarrow M_{k+1}$ is an affine fibration. Each M_k is itself a graded manifold (of degree k), and their Euler vector fields are related by the projections. M_0 also embeds into each M_k as the set of zeros of the Euler vector field; it corresponds to the ideal $\mathcal{A}^{\geq 1}$.

In particular, $\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{A}^0 = C^\infty(M_0)$, and all $\mathcal{A}_k$'s and $\mathcal{A}^k$'s are modules over $\mathcal{A}_0$. It is best to think of these as (locally free) sheaves of $C^\infty(M_0)$-modules over M_0, as they can be restricted to arbitrary open subsets of M_0. Thus, $\mathcal{A}^1$ is the sheaf of sections of some vector bundle over M_0, while $\mathcal{A}_1 = S^{\cdot}\mathcal{A}^1$, etc. In what follows we shall frequently commit an abuse of notation by using $\mathcal{A}^k$ to refer to both the vector bundle and its sheaf of sections.

A (non-negatively integer) graded supermanifold M is an *N-manifold* if the integer grading is compatible with parity (the underlying $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading in the structure sheaf). In plain English, this means that even coordinates have even weights, while odd ones have odd weights. The parity operator is related to the Euler vector field via $\Pi = (-1)^\epsilon$. Thus we have an action of the multiplicative semigroup $(\mathbb{R}, \times)$ on M: -1 acts as Π, 0 picks out $\mathcal{A}^0$, while $\lambda > 0$ acts as λ^ϵ. Then $M_0 = 0 \cdot M$ is an ordinary manifold, while $M_1 = \Pi A$ for some ordinary vector bundle over M_0 (i.e. $\mathcal{A}_1 = \Gamma(\wedge^{\cdot} A^*)$). Conversely, given a vector bundle $A \to M_0$, we can define the N-manifold $A[n]$ by assigning degree n to the fibre coordinates; it is equal to either A or ΠA, depending on the parity of n. The *support* of an N-manifold M is the ordinary graded submanifold M_{even} corresponding to the ideal $\mathcal{A}^{\text{odd}}$.

Remark 2.1. More generally, if N is a graded manifold and $E \to N$ is a graded vector bundle, we denote by $E[n]$ the graded manifold obtained by shifting the fibre degrees by n. In particular, TN and T^*N are graded vector bundles, where the Euler vector field acts as a Lie derivative. With respect to this induced grading, the "velocities" have the same degree as the corresponding coordinates on N, whereas the "momenta" have the opposite degrees. If N is an N-manifold, we may also have to shift the parity in the fibers so that $E[n]$ is again an n-manifold. For example, if $A \to M_0$ is an ordinary vector bundle, $T[1]A[1]$ is $\Pi T(\Pi A)$ with coordinates

$(x^i, \xi^a, dx^i, d\xi^a)$ of weights $w(x^i) = 0$, $w(\xi^a) = 1$, $w(dx^i) = 1$, $w(d\xi^a) = 2$, and $T^*[2]A[1]$ is $T^*\Pi A$ with coordinates $(x^i, \xi^a, p_i, \theta_a)$ of weights $w(x^i) = 0$, $w(\xi^a) = 1$, $w(p_i) = 2$, $w(\theta_a) = 1$.

Graded manifolds form a category: the morphisms are required to be ϵ-equivariant (weight-preserving). N-manifolds form a full subcategory.

Given a graded manifold M, the Euler vector field ϵ acts on all canonical objects (tensors, jets, etc.) on M via the Lie derivative, whereby these objects also acquire weights. For example, an *NQ-manifold* is an N-manifold endowed with an integrable (homological) vector field Q of weight $+1$. That is, $[\epsilon, Q] = Q$ and $[Q, Q] = 2Q^2 = 0$. Such a Q is necessarily odd, so the integrability condition is nontrivial, and $(\mathcal{A}^{\cdot}, Q)$ becomes a cochain complex. The classic example of an NQ-manifold is the anti-tangent bundle $T[1]M = \Pi TM$ of an ordinary manifold M, where $Q = d_M$ is the exterior derivative. In fact, the assignment $M \mapsto (T[1]M, d_M)$ is a functor that embeds ordinary manifolds into NQ-manifolds as a full subcategory. Thus, NQ-manifolds should be thought of as a natural enlargement of the category of smooth manifolds: much of the calculus carries over.

More generally, NQ-manifolds of degree 1 are the same as Lie algebroids over the base M_0. For this reason it is natural to call NQ-manifolds of degree n *n-algebroids*. Interesting results and conjectures concerning the integration of n-algebroids are contained in [**19**].

Other objects of interest are homogeneous symplectic and Poisson structures. For instance, a symplectic structure of degree n is a closed non-degenerate 2-form Ω such that $\mathcal{L}_\epsilon \Omega = n\Omega$. The corresponding Poisson tensor W has weight $-n$. We shall also consider symplectic NQ-manifolds for which we have the additional condition $\mathcal{L}_Q \Omega = 0$.

Let us conclude this section with the following simple observations, showing that a homogeneous symplectic form places severe restrictions on the structure of M:

Lemma 2.2. *Let M be a graded manifold. Then*

1. Any homogeneous symplectic form Ω on M of weight $n \geq 1$ is exact; in fact,
$$\Omega = d(\frac{1}{n}\iota_\epsilon \Omega)$$
2. Any homogeneous vector field V of weight $m > -n$ preserving Ω is Hamiltonian. In fact,
$$\iota_V \Omega = \pm d\left(\frac{1}{m+n}\iota_V \iota_\epsilon \Omega\right)$$

Proof. Both statements are easy consequences of Cartan's homotopy formula. □

Example 2.3. Given a manifold M_0, consider $M = T^*[1]M_0 = \Pi T^* M_0$, with $\Omega = d\theta_i dx^i = d(\theta_i dx^i)$ of degree $+1$. Functions on M correspond to multivector fields on M_0, and the Poisson bracket of degree -1 given by Ω is the Schouten bracket. Vector fields on M of degree -1 correspond to 1-forms on M_0 via $\alpha \leftrightarrow \iota_\alpha$. Such a vector field preserves Ω if and only if α is closed; it is Hamiltonian if and only if α is exact. This example illustates that the second statement of the Lemma above generally fails if $m = -n$.

Lemma 2.4. *If (M, Ω) is a graded symplectic manifold, the degree of M cannot exceed $w(\Omega)$.*

Proof. In an affine chart, $\Omega = \frac{1}{2} dx^i \Omega_{ij}(x) dx^j$, and the total weight of each term is $w(\Omega)$. The statement immediately follows from the nondegeneracy of Ω. $\square$

3. Degree 1 and 2.

Now let us analyze symplectic manifolds of degree 1 and 2 in detail. We shall restrict our attention to N-manifolds, although the general case can be handled at the small additional cost of having to consider the even and odd cases separately.

As a warm-up exercise, let us do the degree 1 case. So let (M, Ω) be a symplectic N-manifold with $w(\Omega) = 1$. According to Lemma 2.4, the degree of M is at most 1, so $M = M_1 \to M_0$ is a vector bundle. More precisely, $M = M_1 = A^*[1] = \Pi A^*$ for some vector bundle A over a manifold M_0. It will be convenient to use the Poisson bracket defined by Ω. It is odd, nondegenerate and has degree -1. We have $\{\mathcal{A}^0, \mathcal{A}^0\} = 0$, $\{\mathcal{A}^1, \mathcal{A}^0\} \subset \mathcal{A}^0$ and $\{\mathcal{A}^1, \mathcal{A}^1\} \subset \mathcal{A}^1$, hence the structure is determined by the Hamiltonian action of a Lie algebra $\mathcal{A}^1 = \Gamma(A)$ on $\mathcal{A}^0 = C^\infty(M_0)$. According to the Leibniz rule, this action is given by a vector bundle map $A \to TM_0$, which is an isomorphism by the nondegeneracy of $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$. Finally, by Jacobi, the Poisson bracket on $\mathcal{A}^1$ corresponds under this isomorphism to the commutator of vector fields on M_0. Thus, we have shown that symplectic N-manifolds of degree 1 are exhausted by Example 2.3:

Proposition 3.1. *Symplectic N-manifolds of degree* 1 *are in one-to-one correspondence with ordinary smooth manifolds, via $N \leftrightarrow (T^*[1]N, \Omega)$, where Ω is determined by the Schouten bracket of multivector fields.*

Let us notice two things. First, the Lie algebra of symmetries of (M, Ω) (Hamiltonian by Lemma 2.2) is $\mathcal{A}^1$, which is identified with the Lie algebra of vector fields on M_0. Second, a local coordinate chart $\{x^i\}$ on M_0 gives rise automatically to an affine Darboux chart $\{x^i, \theta_i\}$ on M, in which $\Omega = d\theta_i dx^i = d(\theta_i dx^i)$.

Now let us tackle the degree 2 case. By Lemma 2.4, such a manifold has the following structure:

$$M = M_2 \to M_1 \to M_0$$

Here M_0 is an ordinary manifold, and $M_1 = E[1] = \Pi E$ for some vector bundle $E \to M_0$. Let us analyze the graded Poisson algebra of functions. The Poisson bracket is even, nondegenerate and has weight -2. Its structure is determined by the relations $\{\mathcal{A}^0, \mathcal{A}^0\} = \{\mathcal{A}^0, \mathcal{A}^1\} = 0$, $\{\mathcal{A}^1, \mathcal{A}^1\} \subset \mathcal{A}^0$, $\{\mathcal{A}^2, \mathcal{A}^0\} \subset \mathcal{A}^0$, $\{\mathcal{A}^2, \mathcal{A}^1\} \subset \mathcal{A}^1$, $\{\mathcal{A}^2, \mathcal{A}^2\} \subset \mathcal{A}^2$. The first three relations, together with the symmetry and derivation properties of the Poisson bracket, imply that E is equipped with a nondegenerate symmetric fiberwise bilinear form $< \cdot, \cdot >$. In fact, $\mathcal{A}_1 = \Gamma(\wedge^{\cdot} E^*)$ is a graded Poisson subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}^{\cdot}$: the Poisson bracket is just an extension of $< \cdot, \cdot >$ as a derivation in each argument. Hence, M_1 is a graded Poisson manifold whose symplectic leaves are the fibres of ΠE, and the projection $M_2 \to M_1$ is a Poisson map. One says that M_2 is a *symplectic realization* of M_1.

The rest of the relations define a Lie algebra structure on $\mathcal{A}^2$ and its action on $\mathcal{A}^0$ and $\mathcal{A}^1$. We recall that $\mathcal{A}^2$ is a locally free sheaf of $\mathcal{A}^0$-modules, i.e. the sheaf of sections of a vector bundle $\mathbb{A}$ over M_0. The Leibniz rule implies that the action of $\mathcal{A}^2$ on $\mathcal{A}^0$ comes from a bundle map $a : \mathbb{A} \to TM_0$; furthermore, the Leibniz and

Jacobi identities imply that $\mathbb{A}$ is actually a Lie algebroid. By nondegeneracy, the anchor a is surjective; $\mathcal{A}^1\mathcal{A}^1 = \Gamma(\wedge^2 E^*) \subset \mathcal{A}^2$ acts trivially on $\mathcal{A}^0$, hence the kernel of a contains $\wedge^2 E^*$. Furthermore, again by Leibniz and Jacobi, the action of $\mathcal{A}^2$ on $\mathcal{A}^1$ comes from a Lie algebroid action of $\mathbb{A}$ on E, preserving $< \cdot, \cdot >$, i.e. a Lie algebroid homomorphism from $\mathbb{A}$ into the gauge Lie algebroid of $(E, < \cdot, \cdot >)$; by nondegeneracy, this is an isomorphism. Therefore, $\mathbb{A}$ fits into the following exact sequence of vector bundles over M_0:

$$0 \to \wedge^2 E^* \to \mathbb{A} \xrightarrow{a} TM_0 \to 0, \tag{3.1}$$

and the Lie algebroid structure on $\mathbb{A}$ is that of the gauge Lie algebroid of $(E, < \cdot, \cdot >)$. This is the so-called *Atiyah sequence* of the pseudo-Euclidean vector bundle $(E, < \cdot, \cdot >)$, and the Lie algebra $\mathcal{A}^2$ of sections of $\mathbb{A}$ is the *Atiyah algebra* of covariant differential operators (CDO's) on E preserving $< \cdot, \cdot >$. The kernel $\wedge^2 E^*$ is a Lie algebra bundle, identified with $\mathfrak{so}(E)$, the endomorphisms of $(E, < \cdot, \cdot >)$ via the Poisson bracket. We conclude that the structure of (M, Ω) is completely determined by $(E, < \cdot, \cdot >)$.

Example 3.2. ([**14**]) Let $A \to M_0$ be any vector bundle, and let $M = T^*[2]A[1]$. The notation means that $M = T^*\Pi A$, with the degrees assigned in such a way that the weights of a base coordinate and its conjugate momentum add up to 2 (Remark 2.1). Then the canonical symplectic form $\Omega = dp_i dq^i + d\theta_a d\xi^a$ has degree 2. It is evident that in this case $E = A \oplus A^*$, with the canonical $< \cdot, \cdot >$ given by $< (X, \alpha), (Y, \beta) > = \alpha(Y) + \beta(X)$.

Conversely, suppose we are given a pseudo-Euclidean vector bundle $(E, < \cdot, \cdot >)$ over a manifold M_0. Then, of course, $M_1 = \Pi E = E[1]$ is a Poisson N-manifold (of degree -2). We shall construct its minimal symplectic realization as follows. By Example 3.2, $T^*[2]E[1]$ is the minimal symplectic realization of $(E \oplus E^*)[1]$. The map $E \hookrightarrow E \oplus E^*$ given by $X \mapsto (X, \frac{1}{2} < X, \cdot >)$ is an isometric bundle embedding and gives rise to a map of N-manifolds $E[1] \hookrightarrow (E \oplus E^*)[1]$. We then let M be the pullback of $T[2]E[1]$, i.e. complete the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & T^*[2]E[1] \arrow[d] \\
E[1] \arrow[r] & (E \oplus E^*)[1]
\end{tikzcd}$$

It is clear that M is a symplectic N-submanifold of $T^*[2]E[1]$, and a minimal symplectic realization of $M_1 = E[1]$. Thus we have proved

Theorem 3.3. *Symplectic N-manifolds of degree* 2 *are in one-to-one correspondence with pseudo-Euclidean vector bundles. The correspondence is given by the above construction. Under this correspondence, the Lie algebra of degree-preserving canonical transformations coincides with the Atiyah algebra of the bundle.*

Example 3.4. If the base M_0 is a point, then $M = M_1 = V[1]$ for some pseudo-Euclidean vector space $(V, < \cdot, \cdot >)$. In this case the degree of M is just 1. Given a basis $\{e_a\}$ of V, $\Omega = \frac{1}{2} d\xi^a g_{ab} d\xi^b$, where $g_{ab} = < e_a, e_b >$. The algebra of symmetries in this case is $\mathcal{A}^2 = \wedge^2 V^* \simeq \mathfrak{so}(V)$, acting on $\mathcal{A}^k = \wedge^k V^*$, $k \geq 0$, via the Poisson bracket.

In the general case, a choice of local coordinates $\{x^i\}$ on M_0 and a local basis $\{e_a\}$ of sections of E such that $g_{ab} = < e_a, e_b >$ are constant gives rise to an affine

Darboux chart (q^i, ξ^a, p_i) for M: the embedding of M into $T^*[2]E[1]$ is given locally by equations $\theta_a = \frac{1}{2} g_{ab}\xi^b$, hence

$$\Omega = (dp_i dq^i + d\xi^a d\theta_a)|_M = dq^i dp_i + \frac{1}{2} d\xi^a g_{ab} d\xi^b.$$

That is, on a local chart $U \subset M_0$ such that $E|_U \simeq U \times V$ as a pseudo-Euclidean vector bundle, $M|_U \simeq T^*[2]U \times V[1]$, with Ω as above. A quadratic Hamiltonian $H = v^i(q)p_i + \frac{1}{2}\xi^a b_{ab}(q)\xi^b \in \mathcal{A}^2$ gives rise to infinitesimal transformations

$$\begin{array}{lcccl} \delta q^i &=& \{H, q^i\} &=& v^i \\ \delta \xi^c &=& \{H, \xi^c\} &=& \xi^a b_{ab}(q) g^{bc} \\ \delta p_i &=& \{H, p_i\} &=& -\frac{\partial v^j}{\partial q^i} p_j - \frac{1}{2}\xi^a \frac{\partial b_{ab}}{\partial x^i}\xi^b \end{array} \tag{3.2}$$

Integrating these, we get canonical coordinate transformations

$$\begin{array}{lcl} q^i &=& q^i(q') \\ \xi^a &=& T^a_{a'}(q')\xi^{a'} \\ p_i &=& \frac{\partial q^{i'}}{\partial q^i} p_{i'} + \frac{1}{2}\xi^{a'} \frac{\partial T^a_{a'}}{\partial x^i} g_{ab} T^b_{b'} \xi^{b'} \end{array} \tag{3.3}$$

corresponding to bundle transformations

$$\begin{array}{lcl} x^{i'} &=& x^{i'}(x) \\ e_{a'} &=& e_a T^a_{a'}(x) \end{array}$$

of E such that $T^a_{a'} g_{ab} T^b_{b'} = g_{a'b'} =$ const. Notice the nonlinear (affine) term in the transformation law for p_i.

Let us now describe the global structure of the sheaf of graded $\mathcal{A}^0$-algebras $\mathcal{A}^{\cdot}$ in terms of $(E, < \cdot, \cdot >)$. According to the above analysis, it is generated by $\mathcal{A}^1$ in degree 1 and $\mathcal{A}^2$ in degree 2. As sheaves on M_0, $\mathcal{A}^1 \simeq E$,[1] while $\mathcal{A}^2 \simeq \mathbb{A}$. This latter is the sheaf of infinitesimal bundle isometries of E, i.e. linear vector fields on E preserving $< \cdot, \cdot >$, containing $\wedge^2 E \simeq \mathfrak{so}(E)$ as those vector fields that leave every point of the base fixed. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{A}^{\cdot} \simeq (\wedge^{\cdot} E \otimes S^{\cdot}\mathbb{A})/I,$$

where I is the homogeneous ideal generated by the embedding of $\wedge^2 E$ into $\mathbb{A}$, i.e. by elements of the form $\omega \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \omega$, $\omega \in \wedge^2 E$. Moreover, $\wedge^{\cdot} E$ is a sheaf of graded Poisson algebras, and so is $S^{\cdot}\mathbb{A}$ (because $\mathbb{A}$ is a Lie algebroid), and I is a Poisson ideal by definition of the embedding. This gives the (nondegenerate) graded Poisson algebra structure on $\mathcal{A}^{\cdot}$.

Each homogeneous component $\mathcal{A}^n$ has the structure of a filtered vector bundle over M_0. For $n = 2k$, $k \geq 0$, we have

$$L_k \subset L_{k-1} \subset \cdots \subset L_1 \subset L_0 = \mathcal{A}^{2k},$$

where $L_i/L_{i+1} \simeq \wedge^{2i} E \otimes S^{k-i} TM$, whereas for $n = 2k+1$, $k \geq 0$,

$$L_k \subset L_{k-1} \subset \cdots \subset L_1 \subset L_0 = \mathcal{A}^{2k+1},$$

where $L_i/L_{i+1} \simeq \wedge^{2i+1} E \otimes S^{k-i} TM_0$ (in both cases we set $L_{k+1} = 0$). Thus, $\mathcal{A}^1 = E$, $\mathcal{A}^2 = \mathbb{A}$ fits in the Atiyah sequence (3.1), $\mathcal{A}^3$ fits in the exact sequence

$$0 \to \wedge^3 E \to \mathcal{A}^3 \to E \otimes TM_0 \to 0,$$

---

[1] We identify E with E^* via $< \cdot, \cdot >$

and so on. Such a description in terms of quotients and filtered bundles is clearly unwieldy to use in practice, so one usually has to resort to local coordinates. Another alternative is to split the Atiyah sequence (3.1) by fixing a linear connection ∇ on E, preserving $< \cdot, \cdot >$. Then $\mathcal{A}^{\cdot}$ can be identified with $\wedge^{\cdot} E \otimes S^{\cdot} TM_0$, with the nonzero Poisson brackets of generators $f \in C^{\infty}(M_0)$ (degree 0), $X, Y \in \Gamma(E)$ (degree 1) and $v, w \in \Gamma(TM)$ (degree 2) given as follows:

$$\begin{array}{rclcrcl} \{v, f\} & = & v \cdot f & \qquad & \{v, X\} & = & \nabla_v X \\ \{X, Y\} & = & < X, Y > & \qquad & \{v, w\} & = & [v, w] + \omega(v, w) \end{array}$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ denotes the Lie bracket of vector fields and $\omega \in \Omega^2(M_0, \wedge^2 E)$ is the curvature of ∇. The Jacobi identity for $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ is then a consequence of the fact that ∇ preserves $< \cdot, \cdot >$, and of the Bianchi identity.

4. Poisson manifolds and Courant algebroids.

Let us now turn our attention to NQ-structures on symplectic N-manifolds, i.e. homological vector fields of degree +1 preserving the symplectic form. By Lemma 2.2, such a vector field is necessarily Hamiltonian. Thus, if our manifold M is of degree n, we can speak of *Hamiltonian n-algebroids.*

Consider the case $n = 1$ first. By Proposition 3.1 $M = M_1$ is of the form $T^*[1]M_0$ for some ordinary manifold M_0, with $\Omega = d\theta_i dx^i$, and the corresponding odd Poisson bracket is just the Schouten bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ of multivector fields. By the above, any NQ-structure on M is determined by a quadratic Hamiltonian $\pi = -\frac{1}{2}\pi^{ij}(x)\theta_i\theta_j$ satisfying $[\pi, \pi] = 0$, i.e. a Poisson bivector field on M_0. Thus,

Proposition 4.1. *Symplectic NQ-manifolds of degree* 1 *are in 1-1 correspondence with ordinary Poisson manifolds.*

The Poisson bracket of functions on M_0 can be expressed in terms of π and the Schouten bracket as the so-called *derived bracket:*

$$\{f, g\} = [[f, \pi], g]$$

By nondegeneracy of $[\cdot, \cdot]$ this completely determines π: $\pi^{ij} = \{x^i, x^j\}$. The homological Hamiltonian vector field $d_\pi = [\pi, \cdot]$ is the differential in the standard complex computing the Poisson cohomology of M_0.

Consider now the case $n = 2$. By Theorem 3.3, such a manifold (M, Ω) corresponds to a pseudo-Euclidean vector bundle E over some ordinary manifold M_0. By Lemma 2.2, an NQ-structure on M is determined by a *cubic* Hamiltonian Θ ("BRST charge") satisfying the *structure equation*

$$\{\Theta, \Theta\} = 0 \tag{4.1}$$

where $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ is the even Poisson bracket on M of degree -2. One should expect such a Θ to correspond to some structure on E, recoverable via derived brackets. This structure is called a *Courant algebroid*. It was first defined in [**9**]. We give an equivalent definition from [**14**]:

Definition 4.2. A *Courant algebroid* is a pseudo-Euclidean vector bundle $(E, < \cdot, \cdot >)$ over a manifold M_0, together with a bilinear operation $\circ$ on $\Gamma(E)$ and a bundle map $a : E \to TM_0$ (the anchor), satisfying the following properties:

1. $e \circ (e_1 \circ e_2) = (e \circ e_1) \circ e_2 + e_1 \circ (e \circ e_2) \;\; \forall e, e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E)$;
2. $a(e_1 \circ e_2) = [a(e_1), a(e_2)] \;\; \forall e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E)$;

3. $e_1 \circ (fe_2) = f(e_1 \circ e_2) + (a(e_1) \cdot f)e_2 \ \ \forall e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E),\ f \in C^\infty(M_0)$;
4. $< e, e_1 \circ e_2 + e_2 \circ e_1 >= a(e)\cdot < e_1, e_2 > \ \ \forall e, e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E)$;
5. $a(e)\cdot < e_1, e_2 >=< e \circ e_1, e_2 > + < e_1, e \circ e_2 > \ \ \forall e, e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E)$.

One can think of sections of E as acting on E by "left multilications" $e\circ$. Properties (1), (3) and (5) mean that this action preserves the whole structure, while property (2) says that the anchor is equivariant with respect to this action and the Lie derivative action on TM_0. Property (1) defines a Leibniz algebra structure on $\Gamma(E)$; if $\circ$ were skew-symmetric, it would be a Lie algebra. Property (4) says that the symmetric part of $\circ$ is "infinitesimal". If we define the *co-anchor* $a^* : T^*M_0 \to E$ by $< a^*\nu, e >= \nu(a(e))$, and set $D = a^*d$, property (4) reads:

$$e_1 \circ e_2 + e_2 \circ e_1 = D < e_1, e_2 >$$

Together with property (2), this implies the useful identity

$$aa^* = 0 \tag{4.2}$$

implying that the image of a^* is isotropic. Other useful identities implied by the definition are

$$\begin{aligned} e \circ Df &= D < e, Df > \\ Df \circ e &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

and more generally

$$\begin{aligned} e \circ a^*\nu &= a^* L_{a(e)}\nu \\ a^*\nu \circ e &= -a^*\iota_{a(e)} d\nu \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Example 4.3. Let $M_0 =$ point. Then E is just a pseudo-Euclidean vector space, and a Courant algebroid structure is just a Lie algebra such that $< \cdot, \cdot >$ is ad-invariant. More generally, any Courant algebroid with $a = 0$ is a bundle of such Lie algebras. By (4.2), this must always be the case if $< \cdot, \cdot >$ is definite.

Example 4.4. Let $E = TM_0 \oplus T^*M_0$ with the canonical $< \cdot, \cdot >$ as in Example 3.2. Define a to be the projection onto TM_0, and $\circ$ by

$$(v, \xi) \circ (w, \eta) = ([v, w], L_v\eta - \iota_w d\xi) \tag{4.5}$$

This operation (rather, its skew-symmetrization) was used originally by T. Courant [**2**] to describe integrable Dirac structures on M_0, including closed 2-forms, Poisson bivectors and foliations. This example is responsible for the term "Courant algebroid", coined by the authors of [**9**] who considered generalizations of this example to dual pairs of Lie algebroids.

Theorem 4.5. *Symplectic NQ-manifolds of degree* 2 *are in 1-1 correspondence with Courant algebroids.*

Proof. Let (M, Ω) be the symplectic N-manifold corresponding to $(E, < \cdot, \cdot >)$, with $(\mathcal{A}^\cdot, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$ its graded Poisson algebra of polynomial functions. Then $\mathcal{A}^0$ is identified with $C^\infty(M_0)$, $\mathcal{A}^1$ – with $\Gamma(E)$, and $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ restricted to $\mathcal{A}^1$ is just $< \cdot, \cdot >$. Let $\Theta \in \mathcal{A}^3$ satisfy the structure equation (4.1). Given arbitrary $f \in \mathcal{A}^0$ and $e, e_1, e_2 \in \mathcal{A}^1$, define a and $\circ$ as the derived brackets

$$\begin{aligned} a(e) \cdot f &= \{\{e, \Theta\}, f\} = \{\{\Theta, f\}, e\} \\ e_1 \circ e_2 &= \{\{e_1, \Theta\}, e_2\} \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

The proof that this defines a Courant algebroid is exactly the same as the proof of Theorem 3.7.3 in [**14**], which asserted the same statement for $E = A \oplus A^*$, $M = T^*[2]A[1]$. But the splitting plays no role in the argument, so we will not repeat it here. It is a straightforward derivation using the basic properties of $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$ and the structure equation.

Due to the nondegeneracy of $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$, the above derived brackets uniquely determine Θ. In an affine Darboux chart (q^i, ξ^a, p_i) on M, corresponding to a chart $\{x^i\}$ on M_0 and a local basis $\{e_a\}$ of sections of E such that $< e_a, e_b >= g_{ab} =$ const., $\Omega = dp_i dq^i + \frac{1}{2} d\xi^a g_{ab} d\xi^b$, and $\Theta \in \mathcal{A}^3$ is of the form

$$\Theta = \xi^a A^i_a(q) p_i - \frac{1}{6}\phi_{abc}(q)\xi^a\xi^b\xi^c \tag{4.7}$$

It clearly follows from (4.6) that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} A^i_a & = & a(e_a)\cdot x^i \\ \phi_{abc} & = & < e_a \circ e_b, e_c > \end{array} \tag{4.8}$$

where $e_a = g_{ab}\xi^b$. So, conversely, given a Courant algebroid structure on $(E, < \cdot,\cdot >)$, we can define Θ in a Darboux chart by the formulas (4.7), (4.8). It is an easy check, using the coordinate transformations (3.3), that Θ is in fact globally defined and obeys (4.1) due to the properties of a Courant algebroid. In fact,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \{\Theta,\Theta\} & = & < a^*dx^i, a^*dx^j > p_i p_j + \xi^a\xi^b dx^j([a(e_a), a(e_b)] - a(e_a \circ e_b))p_j + \\ & + & \frac{1}{12} < (e_a\circ e_b)\circ e_c + e_b \circ (e_a \circ e_c) - e_a \circ (e_b \circ e_c), e_d > \xi^a\xi^b\xi^c\xi^d \end{array}$$

So $\{\Theta,\Theta\} = 0$ if and only if $(E, < \cdot,\cdot >, a, \circ)$ is a Courant algebroid. □

Example 4.6. If M_0 is a point, $\Theta \in \wedge^3 E^*$ is the Cartan structure tensor corresponding to a Lie algebra structure on E making $< \cdot,\cdot >$ ad-invariant: $\Theta(X,Y,Z) =< [X,Y], Z >$.

Example 4.7. For $E = TM_0 \oplus T^*M_0$ with the standard Courant algebroid structure, $M = T^*[2]T[1]M_0$, and $\Theta = \Theta_0$ is the Hamiltonian corresponding to the de Rham vector field d on $T[1]M_0$. This example will be studied in detail in the next section.

Example 4.8. More generally, for $E = A \oplus A^*$, the double of a Lie bialgebroid (A, A^*) [**9**], $M = T^*[2]A[1] \simeq T^*[2]A^*[1]$, and $\Theta = \mu + \gamma$ where μ and γ are the commuting Hamiltonians defining the Lie bialgebroid structure [**14**].

Example 4.9. In particular, let a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ act on a manifold M_0 (on the right). Endow the trivial bundle $M \times \mathfrak{g}$ with the transformation (semidirect product) Lie algebroid structure, the dual bundle with the zero structure, and let $E = M \times (\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*)$ be the double. Then $M = (\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*)[1] \times T^*[2]M_0$, and the BRST charge is the classical one:

$$\Theta = \xi^a v^i_a(q) p_i - \frac{1}{2}\xi^a\xi^b C^c_{ab}\theta_c$$

where $v_a = v^i_a(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ are the infinitesimal generators of the action corresponding to a basis (e_a) of $\mathfrak{g}$, and (C^c_{ab}) are the structure constants in this basis. Notice that our grading is different from the "ghost degree" grading. This leads to a different grading in the cohomology as well, only the parity remains the same. However, under sufficient regularity assumption, the total cohomology is isomorphic to the algebra of functions on the reduced phase space, as proved in [**7**], so this change in grading is of no consequence.

Given a Courant algebroid, $(\mathcal{A}^{\cdot}, D = \{\Theta, \cdot\})$ becomes a differential complex, the *standard complex* of $(E, < \cdot, \cdot >, a, \circ)$. Since D is a Hamiltonian vector field and M has degree 2, it is completely determined by its action on $\mathcal{A}^0 = C^\infty(M_0)$ and $\mathcal{A}^1 = \Gamma(E)$. In fact, the formulas (4.6) imply that $D : C^\infty(M_0) \to \Gamma(E)$ is just $D = a^* d$, while $D : \Gamma(E) \to \Gamma(\mathbb{A})$ is given by

$$(De_1) \cdot e_2 = e_1 \circ e_2$$

where $\cdot$ denotes the Lie algebroid action of $\Gamma(\mathbb{A})$ on $\Gamma(E)$. One interesting feature of this complex is that it is infinite in general.

The cohomology groups $H^{\cdot}(M, D)$ in low degrees have familiar structural interpretations. Thus, H^0 is the space of smooth functions on M_0 that are constant along the leaves of the anchor foliation; it is equal to $\mathbb{R}$ for transitive Courant algebroids (those with surjective anchor). H^1 is the space of sections of E acting trivially on E, modulo those of the form Df for some function f. Further, H^2 is the space of linear vector fields on E preserving the Courant algebroid structure, modulo those generated by sections of E as $e\circ$. H^3 is the space of infinitesimal deformations of the Courant algebroid structure, modulo the trivial ones generated by $\Gamma(\mathbb{A})$, while H^4 houses the obstructions to extending an infinitesimal deformation to a formal one. For the purposes of deformation theory it is customary to shift the grading by -2, the degree of $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$, so that H^3 and H^4 become, respectively, H^1 and H^2. Notice the analogy with Poisson manifolds, and with Lie algebras.

5. Higher de Rham complexes and exact Courant algebroids .

Let us consider the case $E = TM_0 \oplus T^*M_0$. In this case $M = T^*[2]T[1]M_0 = T^*\Pi TM_0$, as in Example 3.2. Any local coordinates (x^i) on M_0 give rise to affine Darboux coordinates $(q^i, \xi^i, p_i, \theta_i)$, so that $\Omega = dq^i dp_i + d\xi^i d\theta_i$. This manifold has a rich additional structure. First of all, there is a canonical isomorphism, the *Legendre transformation*, that identifies $T^*\Pi TM_0$ with $T^*\Pi T^*M_0$ by exchanging the momenta conjugate to the fibre coordinates on ΠTM_0 with the fibre coordinates on ΠT^*M_0, and vice versa. This holds for any vector bundle $A \to M_0$ [**14**]. Thus, M is what is known as a *double vector bundle*:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & T^*[1]M_0 \arrow[d] \\
T[1]M_0 \arrow[r] & M_0
\end{tikzcd}$$

This means that each arrow is a vector bundle; the horizontal pair of arrows is a morphism of the vertical vector bundles, while the vertical pair is a morphism of the horizontal bundles. Because of this structure, the algebra $\mathcal{A}^{\cdot}$ of polynomial functions on M acquires a double grading; we shall use the notation $\mathcal{A}^{\cdot,\cdot} = \oplus_{k,l \geq 0} \mathcal{A}^{k,l}$. The Euler vector fields corresponding to the two gradings are, respectively,

$$\epsilon_1 = p_i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} + \theta_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i}$$

and

$$\epsilon_2 = p_i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} + \xi^i \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^i}$$

The N-manifold structure on $M = T^*[2]T[1]M_0 = T^*[2]T^*[1]M_0$ corresponds to the total grading

$$\epsilon = \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 = 2p_i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} + \xi^i \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^i} + \theta_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i}$$

Evidently, the canonical sympelctic form Ω has bi-degree $(1, 1)$, the corresponding Poisson bracket – $(-1, -1)$.

There is another special canonical isomorphism: $T^*[2]T^*[1]M_0 = T^*\Pi T^*M_0 \simeq \Pi T\Pi T^*M_0 = T[1]T^*[1]M_0$. It comes from treating the conjugate momenta p_i, ξ^i as the differentials of the basic coordinates: $p_i = d\theta_i$, $\xi^i = dx^i$.[2] Correspondingly, on M there is the canonical (de Rham) vector field

$$D = \xi^i \frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} + p_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i}$$

This vector field is homological and Hamiltonian: $D = \{\Theta_0, \cdot\}$, where $\Theta_0 = \xi^i p_i \in \mathcal{A}^{1,2}$ obeys (4.1). With respect to the fibration $M = T^*\Pi TM_0 \to \Pi TM_0$, it is just the Hamiltonian lift of the de Rham vector field $d = \xi^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ on $T[1]M_0$. With respect to the other fibration $M = T^*\Pi T^*M_0 \to \Pi T^*M_0$, Θ_0 is quadratic and generates the canonical Schouten bracket on $T^*[1]M_0$ as a derived bracket:

$$[X, Y] = \{\{X, \Theta_0\}, Y\} \tag{5.1}$$

for multivector fields X, Y.

The vector field D has bi-degree $(0, +1)$. This can be expressed by the relations

$$\begin{aligned} [\epsilon_1, D] &= 0 \\ [\epsilon_2, D] &= D \end{aligned}$$

This means that D preserves the subspace $\mathcal{A}^{k,\cdot}$ for each $k \geq 0$, and the restriction to each $\mathcal{A}^{k,\cdot}$ is a differential. For $k = 0$, $(\mathcal{A}^{0,\cdot}, D) = (\Omega^{\cdot}(M_0), d)$, the de Rham complex of M_0; we call the complexes $(\mathcal{A}^{k,\cdot}, D)$ for $k > 0$ the *higher de Rham complexes*. In particular, $\mathcal{A}^{1,\cdot}[1]$ is naturally identified with vector fields on $T[1]M_0$, i.e. derivations of $\Omega^{\cdot}(M_0)$, with $D = [d, \cdot]$. In general, $\mathcal{A}^{k,l}$ is the space of symbols of differential operators on $T[1]M_0$ of order k and degree $l - k$.

What is important is that ϵ_1, viewed as a cocycle with respect to $[D, \cdot]$, is actually a coboundary. That is, there exists a vector field ι on M, of bi-degree $(0, -1)$, such that

$$[D, \iota] = D\iota + \iota D = \epsilon_1 \tag{5.2}$$

In coordinates,

$$\iota = \theta_i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}$$

It is clear that ι satisfies the above relation, and is homological. With respect to the symplectic structure Ω on M, ι is not Hamiltonian, but rather satisfies the relation:

$$L_\iota \Omega + \omega = 0,$$

where $\omega = d\theta_i dx^i$ is the canonical odd symplectic form on $T^*[1]M_0$, pulled back to M. This relates symplectic N-manifolds of degrees 1 and 2.

To show that ι is well-defined, one can check that it is invariant under coordinate transformations, but we shall provide a coordinate-free description instead.

---

[2] For a thorough treatment of double vector bundle and canonical isomorphisms (without parity shifts), see [**5**]

It suffices to describe the action of ι on $\mathcal{A}^{0,\cdot}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{1,\cdot}$. On $\mathcal{A}^{0,\cdot}$ it acts trivially; let $V \in \mathcal{A}^{1,l}$. Then V is a derivation of $\Omega^{\cdot}(M_0)$ of degree $l-1$. The restriction of V to $\Omega^0(M_0) = C^\infty(M_0)$ is a derivation with values in $\Omega^{l-1}(M_0)$, i.e. a $(l-1)$-form-valued vector field $\bar{V}$ on M_0. We set $\iota(V) = \iota_{\bar{V}}$, the contraction operator. Now, ϵ_1 acts on $\mathcal{A}^{1,\cdot}$ as the identity operator. Therefore, by (5.2), the operators ιD and $D\iota$ are complementary projections. This leads to the following decomposition:

$$\mathcal{A}^{1,\cdot}[1] = \mathcal{A}^{1,\cdot+1} = \mathrm{Der}^{\cdot}(\Omega(M_0)) \simeq \mathcal{G}[\tau] = \mathcal{G}^{\cdot}[-1] \oplus \mathcal{G}^{\cdot} \tag{5.3}$$

as graded vector spaces. Here $\mathcal{G}^{\cdot} = \Gamma(\wedge^{\cdot} T^*M_0 \otimes TM_0)$, the space of vector-valued differential forms, and $\mathcal{G}[\tau]$ denotes the adjunction of a formal odd variable of degree -1; the differential $D = [d, \cdot]$ acts as $\frac{d}{d\tau}$. The space $\mathcal{G}^{\cdot}$ appears in the first summand as "contractions", in the second – as "Lie derivatives". The above decomposition (5.3) is a classic result of Frölicher and Nijenhuis [**3**]. By viewing D and ι as vector fields on M, we obtain the following generalization:

Proposition 5.1. *The higher de Rham complexes* $(\mathcal{A}^{k,\cdot}, D)$*,* $k > 0$*, are acyclic.*

Proof. Immediate from (5.2), since ϵ_1 acts on $\mathcal{A}^{k,\cdot}$ as multiplication by k. This also gives rise to a decomposition of $\mathcal{A}^{k,\cdot}$ generalizing (5.3). □

The above proposition has several consequences. For example, in terms of the standard Lie algebroid structure on TM_0, the de Rham complex $(\mathcal{A}^{0,\cdot}, d)$ is the standard complex with coefficient in the trivial module, whereas the higher complex $(\mathcal{A}^{k,\cdot}, D)$ should be viewed as "the standard complex with coefficients in the $k$the exterior power of the adjoint module", by analogy with Lie algebras. Thus, for instance, the complex $(\mathcal{A}^{1,\cdot}[1], [d, \cdot])$ is responsible for the deformation theory of this algebroid, and its acyclicity implies the rigidity of the standard Lie algebroid:

Corollary 5.2. *The standard Lie algebroid structure on* TM_0 *is rigid.*

The complex $(\mathcal{A}^{2,\cdot}, D)$ is also interesting. As shown in [**14**], 1-cocycles in that complex are responsible for Lie algebroid structures on T^*M_0, compatible with the standard one on TM_0 in the sense that (TM_0, T^*M_0) is a Lie bialgebroid [**11**]. More precisely, a $\gamma \in \mathcal{A}^{2,1}$ gives rise to a Lie algebroid on T^*M_0 if and only if $\{\gamma, \gamma\} = 0$, and it is compatible with TM_0 if and only if $D\gamma = \{\Theta_0, \gamma\} = 0$. By Proposition 5.1, $\gamma = D\pi = \{\Theta_0, \pi\}$, where $\pi \in \mathcal{A}^{2,0} = \Gamma(\wedge^2 TM_0)$ is some bivector field. Then by (5.1), $\{\gamma, \gamma\} = 0$ is equivalent to $\{\Theta_0, [\pi, \pi]\} = 0$; by Proposition 5.1 (with $k = 3$), this can only happen if $[\pi, \pi] = 0$. Therefore, we have another

Corollary 5.3. *Any Lie algebroid structure on* T^*M_0 *compatible with the standard one on* TM_0 *comes from a Poisson structure on* M_0*.*

Finally, let us consider (M, Ω, Θ_0) as a symplectic NQ-manifold. By Theorem 4.5, it must corresond to a Courant algebroid structure on $E = TM_0 \oplus T^*M_0$; it is easy to see that this Courant algebroid is the standard one, as in Example 4.4. Let us denote this structure by E_0. The standard complex of E_0 is the total complex $(\mathcal{A}^{\cdot}, D)$. The Proposition 5.1 immediately implies

Corollary 5.4. *The cohomology of the standard complex of the Courant algebroid* E_0 *is isomorphic to the de Rham cohomology of* M_0*.*

We can describe the action of the de Rham cohomology explicitly. Thus, 1-forms on M_0 act on any Courant algebroid over M_0 via (4.4), and closed 1-forms act trivially; in case of E_0, they are the only sections that do. Furthermore, a 2-form

$\beta \in \mathcal{A}^{0,2} \subset \mathcal{A}^2$ acts by changing the isotropic splitting of $E = TM_0 \oplus T^*M_0$ via its graph: $(v, \xi) \mapsto (v, \xi + \iota_v \beta)$. This does not change $< \cdot, \cdot >$ and a, but $\circ$ in (4.5) is modified by the term $d\beta(v, w, \cdot)$. So the Courant algebroid structure is preserved if $d\beta = 0$; if $\beta = d\alpha$, the action is $\alpha\circ$, as in (4.4).

Lastly, $H^3(M_0, \mathbb{R})$ corresponds to nontrivial deformations of E_0. A 3-form ϕ acts by adding the term $\phi(v, w, \cdot)$ to the expression (4.5) for $\circ$. The corresponding structure is

$$\Theta_\phi = \Theta_0 - \phi = \xi^i p_i - \frac{1}{6}\phi_{ijk}(q)\xi^i\xi^j\xi^k$$

where $\phi = \frac{1}{6}\phi_{ijk}(x)dx^i \wedge dx^j \wedge dx^k$. It is easy to check that Θ_ϕ obeys the structure equation (4.1) if and only if $d\phi = 0$. Let us denote the corresponding Courant algebroid E_ϕ. Cohomologous 3-forms give rise to isomorphic Courant algebroids: if $\phi' - \phi = d\beta$, changing the splitting by β maps E_ϕ to $E_{\phi'}$. In particular, exact 3-forms yield trivial deformations of E_0. Corollary 5.4 implies that these E_ϕ's are the only possible deformations of E_0.

In effect, we have recovered, via deformation theory, Ševera's classification of so-called *exact Courant algebroids* [**18**]. These are Courant algebroids such that the sequence

$$0 \to T^*M_0 \xrightarrow{a^*} E \xrightarrow{a} TM_0 \to 0$$

is exact. Choosing and isotropic splitting $\sigma : TM_0 \to E$ (a "connection") identifies E with E_ϕ, where ϕ is the "curvature" of σ, given by $\phi(u, v, w) =< \sigma(u) \circ \sigma(v), \sigma(w) >$. The cohomology class of ϕ is a characteristic class, the *Ševera class* of E.

Example 5.5. (due to Anton Alexeev) As an example of an exact Courant algebroid with a nontrivial Ševera class, consider a Lie group G with a bi-invariant metric K. Let $E = (\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}) \times G$, where $\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Lie}(G)$. Define $< \cdot, \cdot >$ by

$$< (X, Y), (X', Y') >= K(X, X') - K(Y, Y')$$

and an anchor $a : E \to TG$ by

$$a(X, Y) = X^l - Y^r$$

i.e. $a(X, Y, g) = gX - Yg$. Finally, define $\circ$ on constant sections by

$$(X, Y) \circ (X', Y') = ([X, X'], [Y, Y'])$$

By trivializing both TG and T^*G as $G \times \mathfrak{g}$ by left translation and using K, it is easy to see that this defines an exact Courant algebroid over G. Let us split a by $\sigma(Z, g) = \frac{1}{2}(Z, -\mathrm{Ad}_g Z)$. One computes the curvature to be $\phi(X, Y, Z) = -\frac{1}{2}K([X, Y], Z)$, the Cartan structure tensor. Thus, the Ševera class of this Courant algebroid is the canonical class of G.

References

[1] M. Alexandrov, M. Kontsevich, A. Schwarz, and O. Zaboronsky. The geometry of the Master equation and topological quantum field theory. *Int. J. Modern Phys. A*, 12(7):1405–1429, 1997.

[2] T. Courant. Dirac manifolds. *Trans. A.M.S.*, 319:631–661, 1990.

[3] A. Frölicher and A. Nijenhuis. Theory of vector-valued differential forms, part I. *Indag. Math*, 18:338–359, 1956.

[4] L.J. Kjeseth. *BRST cohomology and homotopy Lie-Rinehart pairs.* PhD thesis, University of North Carolina, 1996.

[5] K. Konieczna and P. Urbanski. Double vector bundles and duality. *Arch. Math. (Brno)*, 35(1):59–95, 1999. dg-ga/9710014.

[6] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach. Jacobian quasi-bialgebras and quasi-Poisson Lie groups. In *Mathematical aspects of classical field theory*, pages 459–489. Contemp. Math., 132, Amer. Math. Soc., 1992.

[7] B. Kostant and S. Sternberg. Symplectic reduction, BRS cohomology and infinite-dimensional Clifford algebras. *Annals of Physics*, 176:49–113, 1987.

[8] P. Lecomte and C. Roger. Modules et cohomologie des bigébres de Lie. *Comptes rendus Acad. Sci. Paris*, 310:405–410, 1990.

[9] Zhang-Ju Liu, Alan Weinstein, and Ping Xu. Manin triples for Lie bialgebroids. *J. Diff. Geom.*, 45:547–574, 1997.

[10] K. Mackenzie. *Lie Groupoids and Lie Algebroids in differential geometry*, volume 124 of *LMS lecture notes series*. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1987.

[11] K.C.H. Mackenzie and P. Xu. Lie bialgebroids and Poisson groupoids. *Duke Math. J.*, 73:415–452, 1994.

[12] J.-S. Park. Topological open p-branes. preprint hep-th/0012141, 2000.

[13] J.-S. Park. Private communication. 2002.

[14] D. Roytenberg. *Courant algebroids, derived brackets and even symplectic supermanifolds.* PhD thesis, UC Berkeley, 1999. math.DG/9910078.

[15] D. Roytenberg. A note on quasi-Lie bialgebroids and twisted Poisson manifolds. preprint math.QA/0112152, 2001.

[16] A. Vaintrob. Lie algebroids and homological vector fields. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, 52(2):428–429, 1997.

[17] T. Voronov. Graded manifolds and Drinfeld doubles for Lie bialgebroids. Preprint math.DG/0105237, 2001.

[18] P. Ševera. How Courant algebroids appear in 2-dim variational problems (or maybe in string theory). A letter to A. Weinstein, 1998.

[19] P. Ševera. Some title containing the words "homotopy" and "symplectic", e.g. this one. preprint math.SG/0105080, 2001.

[20] P. Ševera and A. Weinstein. Poisson geometry with a 3-form background. preprint math.SG/0107133, 2001.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY PARK, PA 16802

E-mail address: `roytend@math.psu.edu`